IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MUMBAI
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 385 OF 2006
(SPEAKING TO THE MINUTES)
IN
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 7986 OF 2005

MILIND DAMODAR DESAI ... **PETITIONER**
(Org. Accused No.1)

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA ... **RESPONDENT**

Adv. Ms. Shubhadha Khot for the accused.
APP Mr. S.S. Pednekar for the State

CORAM : **S.R.SATHE,J.**
DATED : **29TH JUNE, 2006**

P.C.:-

Heard both the learned Advocates.

The learned APP Mr. S.S. Pednekar submitted that the present applicant/ accused had never filed any anticipatory bail application as mentioned in the present application. It is, therefore, necessary to correct the last but one sentence in paragraph No.4 of the order. Hence, it be read as “When police started search for the accused, one of the co-accused Kamalaksha Pudubhidri, filed application for anticipatory bail.”

Accordingly, necessary correction be made and corrected copy be issued to the applicant/ accused immediately but after pointing out the same to undersigned.

(S.R.SATHE,J)